ವಿಲೇಜ್ ಅಕೌಂಟೆಂಟರುಗಳು ಬ್ರಹ್ಮರಾಕ್ಷಸರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರು. ಅನೇಕ ಶಾನುಬೋಗರು ಮತ್ತು ಪಟೇಲರ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಏನಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಹೆಂಡತಿಗೆ ಊಟಕ್ಕೂ ಕೂಡ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅನೇಕ ಕುಟುಂಬಗಳು ಬಹಳ ತೊಂದರೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆವರು ಕಾಂಪೆನ್‌ಸೆಷನ್ ಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಬೇಡಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಂಡಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಮತ್ತು ಪಾಂಪ್ಲೆಟ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಕೂಡ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇವರ ಕಾಂಪೆನ್‌ಸೆಷನ್ ಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತೀವ್ರವಾಗಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಬಸವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರ್‌ರಪರು ಕೂಡ ಲಾಯರ್ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ೯ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರವಾಗಿ ವಿಲೇಜ್ ಆಫೀಸಸ್ ಅಬಾಲಿಷನ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರವಾಗಿ ಶಾನುಭೋಗರು ಮತ್ತು ಪಟೇಲರಿಗೆ ಕಾಂಪನ್‌ಸೆಷನ್ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಾವಿಷನ್ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಬಹಳ ದೊಡ್ಡದು ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ತಾ ಓದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಶೀರ್ನಳ್ಳಿ ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರ್.—ತಾವು ಕಾಂಪನ್‌ಸೆಷನ್ ಕೊಡುವಂಥ ವಿಚಾರವೇನು ಇರುತ್ತದೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಆದಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಆವರು ಜೀವಂತವಾಗಿರುವಾಗಲೇ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಅವರ ಕುಟುಂಬಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಣೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಅನುಕೂಲ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಬಸವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಕ್ಲೈಮ್ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಶನ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆಯಾಗಿ ಕೊಡುವುವಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Message from Chairman, L. C.

Announcement

MR. SPEAKER.—I have received the following message from the Chairman, Legislative Council.

> "As required by rule 106 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Karnataka Legislative Council, I send herewith the following Bills which have been agreed to by the Legislative Council without amendments on 8th August 1978.
>
> 1. The Karnataka Scheduled astes and Scheduled Tribes (Prohibition of Transfer of Certain Lands) Bill, 1978;
>
> 2. The Karnataka Bhoodan Yagna (Amendment) Bill, 1978;
>
> 3. The Madras Aliyasantana (Karnataka Amendment) Bill, 1978;
>
> 4. The Karnataka Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1978".

MATTERS UNDER RULE 312.

(i) re: acquisition of lands of Ex-Maharaja of Mysore, Palace Orchard and Payment of huge Compensation

† SRI S. R. BOMMAI (Hubli).—Sir, the matter pertaining to the lands belonging to the Ex-Maharaja of Mysore, particularly the Bangalore Palace lands has been discussed in this House and the

Upper House. I would like to know from the Government whether they are agricultural lands or non-agricultural lands. The land belonging to Bangalore Palace is about 500 acres and is situated in Bangalore City. If it is an agricultural land, it comes under the land Reforms Act and the lands are vested in the Government; being surplus as the Ex-Maharaja owns rest area land in Bangalore, Mysore and other places. I want to know whether any application has been filed by the heirs of the Maharaja to the Tribunals declaring them as surplus ? I would also like to know the stage at which the matter stands ? If it is not an agriculaural land, it has to come under the Urban Ceiling Act. As per the Urban Ceiling Act, one individual is entitled to have a maximum of 500 metres, and the excess land is vested in the Government. I want to know whether any application has been filed under the Urban Ceiling Act by the heirs of the Ex-Maharaja. In both cases, surplus land is available if it is an agricultural land it is available for distribution to the landless people, Harijans and others ; if it is non-agricultural land, it is available for distribution under the Urban Ceiling Act. If the applications have been filed, what action the Government has taken ? Sometime back I had read in the press that the Government is trying to bring in a Bill to acquire the Bangalore Palace land, so far as MysorePalace lands are concerned, there is going to be a Trust. there is no necessity to acquire the Bangalore Palace land because the land is vested in the Government under the Act and it is available for distribution. I am obliged to repeat it because the Govenment has taken two stands Sri H. Siddaveerappa while he was a Minister, answering on the floor of the House had stated that the Bangalore Palace land is a non-agricultural land ; but the Minister for Revenue Sri N. Hutchmasthy Gowda had stated that it was an agricultural land. In view of this inconsistency, I want to know from the Government what is the present position.

2 00 P. M.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS (Chief Minister).—I wish to make the following Statement:—

The Cabinet decided on 23-1 1976 to acquire the two Palaces at Mysore and Bangalore along with the surrounding areas belonging to the Ex-Maharaja of Mysore by special legislation. The main consideration weighing with Government in taking this decision is that the two Palaces at Mysore and Bangalore are Historical monuments. In Mysore Palace, there are rare collections of paintings, photographs art pieces, etc., in addition to several items of regalia.

The Government of India to whom the matter was referred have made certain suggestions/observations, to be taken into account while finalising the Bill, including the one that the amount payable in respect of the excess vacant land under the Urban Land (C&R) Act. 1976 should not exceed the amount that would work out in accordance with Sec. 11 of that Act. Keeping all these aspects in view, the Bill is being drafted.

The position of the Bangalore Palace land under the Urban land Ceiling Act. 1976 as also under the Karnataka Land Reforms Act is being examined by the respective authorities.

The position of the Bangalore Palace land under the Urban Ceiling Act 1976 as also the Karnataka Land Reforms Act is being examined by the respective authorities. In the meantime I have the information that the royal family has filed declarations under section 6 of the Urban Ceiling Act and the Land Reforms Act and they are under process by the Special Deputy Commissioners of Mysore and Bangalore. However, Sir, we are going to bring forward a Bill before this House.

SRI S. R. BOMMAI.—In my opinion there is no necessity to bring a Bill at all because under the Urban Ceiling Act the excess land vests in the Government.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—In his opinion it may be anything but in the opinion of the State Government and the Central Government such a Bill is necessary. The Central Government after the recent General Election in 1977 have taken note of these things and they have sent back the Bill to us with certain suggestions to be taken note of in the present Bill.

SRI S. R. BOMMAI.—The Act is very clear. In my opinion there is no necessity to acquire this land.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—When the Bill is taken up for discussion, let him argue that there is no necessity for the Bill. We have examined the matter and we feel that the Bill is necessary.

SRI S. R. BOMMAI—I would like to know whether it is the intention to the Government to pay higher compensation to the heirs of the Ex-Maharaja ?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—Legally I have been advised to have a special Bill both by the Government of India and the State Lrw Department.